



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/718,931	11/21/2000		Paul D. Arling	81230.578001	7074
34018	7590 03/01/2006		EXAMINER		
		URIG, LLP	YENKE, BRIAN P		
77 WEST WACKER DRIVE SUITE 2500				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO,	IL 6060	1-1732	2614		

DATE MAILED: 03/01/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application Number	Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination		
	09/718,931	ARLING ET AL.	ARLING ET AL.	
		Art Unit		
	David L. Ometz	2615		
Document Code - AP.PRE.	DEC			

Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Bri	ef Request for Review filed 2/6/06.					
 Improper Request – The Requestor(s): 	uest is improper and a conference will no	t be held for the following				
☐ The request does not include	t been filed concurrent with the Pre-Appe e reasons why a review is appropriate. Included with the Pre-Appeal Brief request.	•				
The time period for filing a response the mail date of the last Office comm	continues to run from the receipt date of function, if no Notice of Appeal has been	the Notice of Appeal or from n received.				
held. The application remains under is required to submit an appeal brief brief will be reset to be one month from the receipt of the notice	Appeals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeappeal because there is at least one acture in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The tire or mailing this decision, or the balance of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, CFR 1.136 based upon the mail date of this e.	ral issue for appeal. Applicant me period for filing an appeal f the two-month time period the time period for filing of the				
The panel has determined to Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consider	the status of the claim(s) is as follows:					
3. Allowable application – A cor Allowance will be mailed. Prosecutio applicant at this time.	nference has been held. The rejection is with a non the merits remains closed. No further	withdrawn and a Notice of er action is required by				
4. ⊠ Reopen Prosecution – A confaction will be mailed. No further action	erence has been held. The rejection is wion is required by applicant at this time.	ithdrawn and a new Office				
All participants:		A , \hat{A} .				
(1) <u>David L. Ometz</u> .	(3)	La Cont				
(2) Brian Yenke.	(4) .	DAVID OMETZ				

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER